1 2 3 4 5 6 7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 8 WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT SEATTLE 9 10 SANTIAGO ORTUNO PEREZ, CASE NO. 2:23-cv-01527-DGE 11 Petitioner, ORDER ON REPORT AND 12 RECOMMENDATION (DKT. NO. 6) v. 13 IMMIGRATION AND CUSTOMS ENFORCEMENT FIELD OFFICE 14 DIRECTOR, Respondent. 15 16 This matter comes before the Court on the Report and Recommendation of United States 17 Chief Magistrate Judge David W. Christel. (Dkt. No. 6.) 18 On February 1, 2024, Judge Christel issued a Report and Recommendation on 19 Respondent's motion to dismiss, advising that the controversy was moot and dismissal was 20 appropriate. (Dkt. No. 6.) The Clerk of the Court mailed a copy of this Report and 21 Recommendation to Petitioner Santiago Ortuno Perez, indicating Petitioner had 14 days to 22 object. (Dkt. No. 7 at 1.) On February 20, 2024, the letter was returned as undeliverable. (Id.) 23 24

ORDER ON REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION (DKT. NO. 6) - 1

A party proceeding *pro se* shall keep the Court and opposing parties advised as to his or her current mailing address. LCR 41(b)(2). If mail directed to a *pro se* petitioner by the Clerk is returned by the Postal Service, and if such petitioner fails to notify the Court and opposing parties within 60 days thereafter of his or her current mailing address, the Court may dismiss the action without prejudice for failure to prosecute. *Id*.

More than 60 days have elapsed since mail directed to Petitioner was returned undeliverable and Petitioner has failed to provide the Court with a current mailing address.

Accordingly, the Court DECLINES to adopt the Report and Recommendation and instead DISMISSES the above-captioned action without prejudice for failure to prosecute.

Dated this 23rd day of April 2024.

David C. Estudilla

David G. Estudillo United States District Judge